

DIVISIONAL COUNCIL

April 29, 2019

10:30 AM – 12:00 PM

KL 362

Call-in Information: 1-866-740-1260, Access Code: 7244512

Supporting Documents available on [Box](#)[Committee Bylaws](#)

Item

-
- | | | |
|------|---|---------------|
| I. | Chair’s Report & Announcements – <i>Chair Schnier</i> | 10 Min |
| | A. Academic Council (4/24) | |
| | B. Meeting with EVC/Provost Camfield (4/22) | |
| | C. Meeting with Chancellor (4/18) | |
| | D. Extended Cabinet (4/17) | |
| | E. Deans’ Council (4/16) | |
| II. | Consent Calendar | 5 Min |
| | A. The agenda | |
| III. | Consultation with SNRI Director Bales on Carbon Neutrality | 15 Min |
| | SNRI Director Roger Bales will provide an update on UCs Carbon Neutrality Initiative , and present draft points for possible senate action. | |
| | Action Requested: Endorse for consideration by Academic Council. | |
| IV. | Systemwide Review Items | 10 Min |
| | A. Proposed Revisions to Senate Regulation 636.E – <i>UGC Chair Sharping</i> | |
| | Members are asked to discuss committee comments on proposed revisions to Senate Regulation 636.E , addressing how UC students are allowed to satisfy the University’s Entry Level Writing Requirement (ELWR). UGC is the lead committee for this review. | |
| | Requested Action: Transmit Division comments to the systemwide Senate Chair by May 15, 2019. | |
| | Committee Comments: | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CRE concluded the regulation could be difficult to interpret; such revisions are not necessary if the aim is interpreted as not allowing students who have enrolled at a UC to meet <i>subsequent</i> campus writing requirements at another institution. If indeed the Regulation seeks to regulate the ways in which students can fulfill the Entry Level Writing Requirement as a condition of enrollment, revisions may be needed to clarify its meaning. • UGC is concerned that the regulation potentially could be difficult to interpret, while noting that it probably helps clarify the waiver structure for ELWR. | |
| | Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine: CAP, CAPRA, CoR, D&E, FWAf, GC, and the School of Engineering Executive Committee. | |

V. Campus Review Items

30 Min

- A. [Policy for the Establishment, Disestablishment, and Review of ORUs](#) – *Cor Chair Scheibner*
Members are asked to discuss [committee and administrator comments](#) on the [draft policy](#) for the establishment, disestablishment, and review of organized research units. There were no lead committees for this review.

Requested Action: Transmit Senate comments to the Committee on Research.

Committee Comments:

- [CAPRA](#) requires clarification on various points, particularly concerning the costs of establishing and maintaining an ORU.
- [CRE](#) offered several editorial recommendations.
- [GC](#) endorsed the policy.
- [SoE Executive Committee](#) endorsed recommendations offered by the CITRIS director.
- [SNS Executive Committee](#) forwarded two comments.

Administrator Comments:

- [Deans' Council](#) recommended clarifying the distinction between centers and institutes.
- [Dean Matsumoto](#) offered a number of comments and edits for consideration.
- [HSRI Director](#) offered minor comments to the draft policy, and commented on the executive committee- related recommendation from the SNRI Director.
- [SNRI Director](#) identified in the draft policy a few inconsistencies with both current practice and the systemwide policies, and noted that the annual report and 5-year review reports will remain a challenge, given campus-wide constraints on staffing for research support.
- [CITRIS Director](#) offered a number of comments.

Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine: CAP, D&E, FWAF, and UGC

- B. [Salary Recovery Policy](#) – *CAPRA Chair Trounstine*
Members are asked to discuss [committee comments](#) on the [Salary Recovery Policy](#) proposed by the Budget Work Group. CAPRA, CoR, FWAF, GC, UGC, and the School Executive Committees were the lead committees for this review.

Requested Action: Transmit Division comments to the Budget Work Group Co-Chairs Michael Riley and Kurt Schnier.

Committee Comments:

- [CAPRA](#) offered several comments on terminology, and the cost of and rationale for course buyouts, and use of remaining funds.
- [CoR](#) viewed the policy favorably while raising a number of issues.
- [FWAF](#) raised questions about the rationale for the different costs of the two types of buyouts, and asked about the use of incidentals and startups for buyouts.

- [GC](#) desired clarity about the purpose of the policy, specifically what it is intended to incentivize, and offered related recommendations.
- [UGC](#) offered several observations for consideration.
- [SoE Executive Committee](#) desired that the policy apply to graduate and undergraduate courses, and noted that the policy may disadvantage faculty who teach primarily graduate courses.
- [SSHA Executive Committee](#) would like to understand the reasons for distinguishing between “protected research time” and “course buyouts”.
- [SNS Executive Committee](#) forwarded two questions.

Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine: CAP, CRE, and D&E.

VI. FWAFF Memo: Equity for Teaching Professors – FWAFF Chair Hamilton

10 Min

As per Divisional Council’s recommendation at its January 23, 2019 meeting, the Senate Chair, Vice Chair, and School Executive Committee Chairs met to discuss setting an instructional workload limit for faculty in the LSOE series. The outcome of the discussion is a [proposed memo](#) to the EVC/Provost and Vice Provost for the Faculty recommending the development of policy infrastructure in support of the LSOE series. FWAFF’s original memo to Divisional Council is [here](#).

Action Requested: Endorse memo for transmittal to the EVC/Provost and VPF.

VII. Discussion Item: Chairs’ Reports

5 Min

Committee chairs’ reports were circulated to members by email on Friday, April 26. Members are invited to follow-up on any reported items.

VIII. Informational Items

- 4/11 – Senate Chair May transmits the Academic Senate’s approval of the following three SSGPDPs to Provost Brown:
 - [Master of Bioprocess Engineering](#) (MBE) degree program at UC Berkeley
 - [Master of Engineering](#) (MEng) degree program at UC Irvine
 - [Master of Social Welfare](#) degree program at UC Berkeley
- 4/25 – Senate Chair May transmits the Academic Senate’s approval of the following three items to Provost Brown:
 - [Online Master of Business Administration](#) self-supporting graduate degree program (SSGPDP)
 - [Seventh undergraduate college](#) at UCSD
 - [Revisions to Senate Bylaw 336](#) (Privilege and Tenure: Divisional Committees - Disciplinary Cases)
- 4/25 – Senate Chair May transmits to the UC Chancellors [a letter from Academic Council](#) concerning the recent Chancellors’ Statement on Academic Boycotts of Israeli Institutions.