

Committee on Research (COR)
Wednesday, December 14, 2016
11:00-12:00 PM KL 362
Documents available at [UCM BOX](#)

- I. **Chair’s Report – David Noelle**
 - a. Division Council – December 1, 2016 (Ramen Saha)
 - b. UCORP – December 12, 2016

- II. **Consent Calendar**
 - a. Approval of the November 30, 2016 Minutes
 - b. Approval of the December 14, 2016 Agenda

pg. 3

- III. **Sierra Nevada Research Institute (SNRI) ORU Review Committee**
 - a. **Background:** Committee on Committees has endorsed Paul Brown to represent SSHA, and Marcelo Kallmann has been endorsed to represent SOE on the review committee.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to provide updates on nominees.

- IV. **[Survey of Faculty Concerning Staff Support for Extramural Funding Efforts](#)**
 - a. **Background:** A revised report of the survey results was distributed to COR on Dec 6.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to review and discuss survey responses.

- V. **[Recommendations Regarding Faculty Start-Up and Incidental Expense Funds](#)**
 - a. **Background:** The UCM Faculty Welfare and Academic Freedom (FWAF) committee has prepared recommendations concerning campus policies on faculty start-up funds and incidental expense accounts. Division Council has asked COR to coordinate with FWAF concerning the revision of these recommendations.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to discuss FWAF recommendations, critiques from Division Council, and potential modifications to the proposed recommendations.

- VI. **Purchasing and Research – Ramen Saha**
 - a. Discussion of issues related to the purchasing process at UC Merced.

- VII. **[Center for the Humanities ORU Proposal](#)**
 - a. **Background:** Following established [policy](#), SSHA Dean Robbins and VC-ORED Traina have been asked to submit letters of consideration, due to the COR Analyst by Jan 4, 2017.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to discuss procedures and division of labor for reviewing this proposal.

- VIII. **[ORU Proposal Initiation Issues](#)**
 - a. **Background:** COR has been asked to explore options available to faculty who have prepared an ORU proposal but have been unsuccessful at convincing relevant Deans to submit the proposal for review.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to discuss the ORU proposal process, preparing advice for relevant faculty if potential solutions are identified.

IX. Identify AY 2016-2017 High Priority Issues for COR

- a. Background:** During the first meeting of the academic year, some topics were identified as high priority issues to be pursued by COR during AY 2016-2017. It is not clear, however, that sufficient time was available to forge agreement on this list of topics.
- b. Action:** COR members further discuss and work toward a consensus on high priority issues, so these may be communicated to the Division leadership.

X. Upcoming Business

- a.** Future Funding of Senate Faculty Grants Program
- b.** Faculty Start-Up Funds & Other Campus Sources of Research Support
- c.** ORU Proposal - Center for Human Adaptive Systems and Environments (CHASE)
- d.** Blum Center reorganization
- e.** Administering the Senate Faculty Grants Program
- f.** Monitoring Progress of the 2020 Project

XI. Other Business