

DIVISION COUNCIL
Monday, February 1, 2016
Time: 10:00-11:30am
KL 232

Supporting Documents available on [Box](#)

Item

I. Chair’s Report and Announcements – Chair Ricci

- A. [January 27, 2016 Academic Council Meeting](#) (Pg. 4)
- B. [Update from the Sustainability Strategic Academic Focus Steering Committee](#) (Pg. 5-9)

II. Consent Calendar

- A. Approval of the Agenda
- B. Approval of the [November 30 Meeting Minutes](#) (Pg. 10-13)

III. Committee Chairs’ Reports:

- [Academic Personnel](#) (CAP) – Vice Chair Fanis Tsoulouhas
- [Academic Planning & Resource Allocation](#) (CAPRA) – Chair Mukesh Singhal
- [Committees](#) (CoC) – Chair Patti LiWang
- [Committee on Research](#) (CoR)– Chair Ajay Gopinathan
- [Rules and Elections](#) (CRE) – Chair Peter Vanderschraaf
- [Diversity and Equity](#) (D&E) – Chair Tanya Golash-Boza
- [Faculty Welfare and Academic Freedom](#) (FWAF) – Chair Rudy Ortiz
- [Graduate Council](#) (GC) – Chair Mike Dawson
- [Undergraduate Council](#) (UGC) – Chair Christopher Viney

IV. Systemwide Review Item: Retirement Options Task Force Report

- [Report](#) (provided as a hyperlink and not appended to the agenda)
- [Fact Sheet and FAQs](#) - prepared by UCOP (Pg. 14-19)
- [Guide to Reviewing the Report](#) (prepared by systemwide Senate Chair Hare and Vice Chair Chalfant) (Pg. 20-33)

Actions Requested:

- Assign lead reviewer(s)
- Send comments to the Systemwide Academic Senate by **February 5, for discussion at the February 10 Assembly Meeting.**

V. Campus Review Items - All

A. Revised Proposal for a Bylaw Unit in Public Health

The original proposal was distributed to standing Senate committees and was discussed at the November 30 DivCo meeting. The Academic Senate requested some clarification regarding the SOE and SNS Deans’ endorsements of the proposal. SSHA submitted a revised proposal on December 14. UGC endorsed the proposal on January 21, 2016.

- [UGC Comments on the Proposal](#) (Pg. 34 – proposal can be viewed on Pg. 35-51)
- [Professor Brown’s Letter to Dean Gilger](#) (Pg. 52-54)
- [Dean Gilger’s Endorsement Letter to UGC and DivCo](#) (Pg. 55)

Action Requested: DivCo endorses the revised proposal and sends approval to Provost/EVC Peterson for administrative review.

B. Revised GASP Proposal

UGC, GC, CAPRA, the VPDUE, the DIA (Director of Institutional Assessment), and the Provost have been asked to review the revised proposal.

- [UGC's Recommendation](#) (Pg. 56 – revised GASP proposal is available on pg. 58-85)
- [CAPRA's Comments](#) (Pg. 86)
- [Provost's Comments](#) (Pg. 87)

Action Requested: Discuss proposal and send recommendation to the SSHA Dean.

C. [Policy for Establishing Concentrations and Designated Emphases within Graduate Groups](#) (Pg. 88-101)

Action Requested: DivCo discusses the proposed policy and sends its recommendation to the Graduate Council by February 8, 2016.

- [UGC's Comments](#) (Pg. 102)
- [VPDGE Zatz's Comments](#) (Pg. 103)
- [Faculty Member's Comments](#) (Pg. 105-106)
- [Office of Periodic Review, Assessment and Accreditation Support](#) (Pg. 107-112)

VI. **Other Business**

A. **School Dean's Review – All**

Background: The SNS Executive Committee has inquired about the timeline for the review of the SNS Dean. In response to the SNS EC's request, the Provost sent draft procedures (hyperlinked below).

The SNS EC is asking the Academic Senate to advise on how Academic Senate input should be solicited

- [Memo from SNS Executive Committee to Chancellor Leland and Provost/EVC Peterson](#) (Pg. 113)
- [Provost's Response](#) (Pg. 114) and [Review Procedures](#) vetted and approved by the Provost and the Council of Deans (Pg. 115-117)

Deans' reviews are governed by APM 240

[APM 240-80](#) - Review Procedures for Decanal Duties

"This section of policy formalizes review procedures for the administrative portion of a Dean's duties. The administrative review procedures are separate and distinct from the formal academic review procedures governing the underlying faculty appointment as described in APM - 210, Review and Appraisal Committees, and APM - 220, Professor Series.

a. Annual Assessment

The Chancellor shall conduct an annual assessment for each Dean. The Chancellor shall communicate the key components of the assessment to each Dean.

b. Five-Year Review and Reappointment

(1) The Chancellor shall conduct a five-year review for each Dean to determine whether reappointment to another term is warranted. In each case involving the five-year review of a Dean, the Chancellor, after consultation with the Academic Senate, shall appoint an advisory committee to review the Dean's performance and accomplishments. The advisory committee shall report its findings to the Chancellor.

(2) The Chancellor shall develop the criteria and procedures for conducting five-year Dean reviews.