

## DIVISION COUNCIL

May 4, 2017

12:00– 1:30 PM

KL 362

Supporting Documents available on [Box](#)

Item

- 
- |      |  |        |
|------|--|--------|
| I.   | <b>Chair’s Report and Announcements – Chair Amussen</b>  | 5 Min  |
|      | A. Academic Council (4/25)   |        |
|      | B. Meeting with Provost (5/1)  |        |
|      | C. SPA Board Meeting (5/3)   |        |
| <br> |  |        |
| II.  | <b>Consent Calendar</b>  | 5 Min  |
|      | A. Approval of the Agenda  |        |
| <br> |  |        |
| III. | <b>Consultation with Jeff Gilger, David Kelley, &amp; Paul Maglio, Chairs, School Executive Committees</b>   | 15 Min |
| <br> |  |        |
| IV.  | <b>Diversity in Faculty Hiring</b>   | 15 Min |
|      | <b>A. FWAF Statement on the Importance of Diversity in Faculty Hiring - FWAF Chair Beaster-Jones</b>   |        |
|      | Members are asked to discuss <a href="#">FWAF’s statement</a> on the importance of diversity in faculty hiring, and associated request to submit the statement to the Provost.   |        |
|      | <b>Action Requested:</b> 1) Discuss FWAF’s statement. 2) Endorse transmittal of the statement to the Provost.  |        |
|      | <b>B. D&amp;E Recommendations for Best Practices in Faculty Hiring – D&amp;E Chair Golash-Boza</b>   |        |
|      | Members are asked to discuss <a href="#">D&amp;E’s memo</a> outlining issues related to faculty diversity in the UC system, and recommended best practices for implementation at UC Merced.  |        |
|      | <b>Action Requested:</b> 1) Discuss D&E recommendations. 2) Endorse transmittal to the Provost.  |        |
| <br> |  |        |
| V.   | <b>Campus Review Items</b>   | 10 min |
|      | <b>A. Proposal for a Minor in Management Analytics and Decision-making – UGC Chair Zanzucchi</b>   |        |
|      | Members are asked to discuss committee and administration comments on the <a href="#">Proposal for a Minor in Management Analytics and Decision-making</a> . Undergraduate Council and CAPRA are the lead committees for this review.          |        |
|      | <b>Action Requested:</b> 1) Discuss committee comments. 2) Vote to approve the proposal. 3) Transmit Divisional Council’s approval to the administration for implementation.   |        |
|      | <b>Committee Comments:</b>   |        |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">CAPRA</a> requests clarification regarding a number of resource-related topics, including the impact of the program on existing curricular offerings, including the Management</li> </ul> |        |

and Business Economics program, the availability of teaching assistants, and the resource neutrality of the program for three years.

- [UGC](#) recommends that the proposal be strengthened in a number of ways, including the program’s academic content, differentiation from the Management and Business Economics major, evidence of consultation with affected units, and demand for the program.
- [SSHA Curriculum Committee](#) desires answers to questions about the degree of differentiation between the proposed program and the Management and Business Economics major.
- [SSHA Executive Committee](#) notes that the minor is important, and recommended clarifying course pre-requisites, including to enable students outside of Engineering majors to enroll.

**Administration Comments:** [The Provost](#) regrets being unable to meet the Senate’s comment deadline, and plans to submit comments by the end of the month.

**Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine:** CAP, CRE, CoR, FWAf, and GC.

**B. *Guide to Senate Committee Membership – UGC Chair Zanzucchi***

Members are asked to discuss the draft [Guide to Senate Committee Membership and the Purpose and Practice of Executive Session](#). The document has been revised in response to written feedback from [Undergraduate Council](#), following DivCo’s discussion on 4/6. All other committees declined to comment or otherwise endorsed the guide.

**Action Requested:** 1) Discuss committee comments. 2) Vote to adopt the guide.

**VI. Systemwide Review Items**

**15 Min**

**A. *Proposed Presidential Policy on Export Controls - CoR Chair Noelle***

Members are asked to discuss the proposed [Presidential Policy on Export Controls](#). CoR and GC are the lead committees for this review.

**Action Requested:** 1) Discuss committee comments. 2) Transmit Division Council’s response to systemwide Senate Chair Chalfant by May 17, 2017.

**Committee Comments:**

- [CoR](#) endorsed the proposed policy.

**Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine:** CAP, CAPRA, CRE, D&E, FWAf, GC, and UGC.

**B. *Proposed Revisions to Senate Bylaw 336 (Round 2) – P&T Chair LiWang***

Members are asked to discuss the [proposed revisions to Senate Bylaw 336](#). This is the second systemwide review of this item. P&T, D&E, and FWAf are the lead committees for this review.

The proposed revisions address procedures and timelines for Privilege and Tenure proceedings in discipline cases. The amendments are intended to align Bylaw 336 with the [revisions to APMs 015 and 016 approved by the Regents earlier this month](#), and to implement policy revisions

recommended by the Administration-Senate Joint Committee on Investigation and Adjudication Processes for Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence cases involving faculty, in the Joint Committee's [Report](#) of April 4, 2016.

The Senate first [reviewed proposed amendments to SB 336 in fall 2016](#), alongside the revisions to APMs 015 and 016. The original proposed amendments have been revised to accommodate comments generated in that review. Note that certain elements of Bylaw 336 must mirror the new language in APMs 015 and 016 and are not subject to further revision. Explanatory notes appear in the margin of the document. The document also shows how the text differs relative to the version the Senate reviewed in the fall, and relative to the current text. Proposed revisions from fall 2016 appear in **RED** and the current set appear in **BLUE**.

**Action Requested:** 1) Discuss committee comments. 2) Transmit Division Council's response to systemwide Senate Chair Chalfant by May 17, 2017.

**Committee Comments:**

- [P&T](#) desired further clarification regarding the process by which the Hearing Committee decides to reconsider a case.
- [D&E](#) endorses the revisions, and appreciates the elimination of the 3-year time limit for reporting a violation.

**Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine:** CAP, CAPRA, CRE, CoR, FWAf, GC, and UGC.

**C. *Proposed Revisions to APM - 285, 210-3, 133 and 740 – CAP Chair López-Calvo***

Members are asked to discuss committee comments on [proposed revisions to APM – 285, 133, and 740](#) regarding the LSOE series. Key policy revisions and background are summarized in the accompanying [cover letter](#).

**Action Requested:** 1) Discuss committee comments. 2) Transmit Division Council's response to systemwide Senate Chair Chalfant by June 21, 2017.

**Committee Comments:**

- [CAP](#) supports the proposed revisions, and recommends making the document internally consistent with respect to expectations for “professional and scholarly achievement and activity”, which are combined in this passage but separate in others.
- [CAPRA](#) endorsed the revisions by majority vote; a minority was concerned that the title “teaching professor” suggests that ladder-rank Senate faculty don't teach, and may alienate unit 18 lecturing faculty.
- [CoR](#) supports the revisions, but recommended clarification regarding whether the renaming of this series implies shifts in teaching expectations such that Academic Senate faculty with these titles would be able/expected to teach at the graduate level. Separately, CoR recommended reestablishing the Research Professor series.
- [D&E](#) endorsed the proposed revisions.
- [UGC](#) supports, and highlights the importance of, the proposed revisions.

**Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine:** CRE, and GC.

**VII. Committee Chairs' Reports****20 Min**

- [Undergraduate Council](#) (UGC) – Vice Chair Mario Sifuentez
- [Graduate Council](#) (GC) – Chair Ramesh Balasubramaniam
- [Faculty Welfare and Academic Freedom](#) (FWAF) – Chair Jayson Beaster-Jones
- [Diversity and Equity](#) (D&E) – Chair Tanya Golash Boza
- [Rules and Elections](#) (CRE) – Chair Lin Tian
- [Committee on Research](#) (CoR)– Chair David Noelle
- [Committees](#) (CoC) – Chair Rick Dale
- [Academic Personnel](#) (CAP) – Chair Ignacio López-Calvo
- [Academic Planning & Resource Allocation](#) (CAPRA) – Chair Mukesh Singhal

**VIII. Informational Items**

- A. The Faculty-Administrative Working Group on School Structure submitted [its report](#) to the Senate Chair and Provost.
- B. President Napolitano [has responded](#) to the [California State Auditor's audit of UCOP](#).
- C. Members are encouraged to read and comment on the draft [Institutional Report for Reaffirmation of Accreditation](#).
- D. The [SSHA Executive Committee has asked campus leadership](#) to ensure that sufficient time for faculty consultation is built into timelines for major planning initiatives.
- E. [The Academic Senate's comments](#) on the proposed Draft Presidential Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) Policy were provided to Vice Provost Carlson on May 1, 2015.