

Committee on Research (COR)**Tuesday, January 16, 2018****10:30 AM – 12:00 PM; KL 362****Documents available at UCM Box [COR AY 17-18](#)**

- I. **Chair's Report – *David Noelle***

- II. **Budget Working Group Update – *Michael Scheibner***

- III. **Consent Calendar**
 - a. Approval of the January 16, 2018 Meeting Agenda
 - b. Approval of the [December 13, 2017 Meeting Minutes](#)

- IV. **Revisions to the Evaluation Criteria for the Annual Senate Faculty Grants Program**
 - a. **Background:** At the December 13, 2017 meeting, a COR member agreed to revise the evaluation criteria for the Academic Senate Faculty Research Grants program according to the various suggestions provided by committee members. The COR chair will conduct another review of the criteria for committee members' review.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to finalize the Call for Proposals for submission in January.

- V. **Research Computing Support**
 - a. At the November 29, 2017 meeting, COR members discussed the lack of resources for faculty research computing. It was suggested that COR issue a memo to the Budget Working Group to recommend that a portion of indirect cost return be used for research computing. Also, it was proposed that COR submit a memo to the School Reorganization Working Group with an inquiry into how staff should be allocated so as to support faculty research computing.
 - b. **Action:** COR members to decide whether to issue these memos.

- VI. **Campus Review Item**
 - a. [Proposed revisions](#) to [Section Part II, Section 4](#) of the Division Regulations addressing Honors. The proposed revisions establish standards for awarding High and Highest Honors in the major. These revisions are requested by Divisional Council, following its April 24, 2017 endorsement of UGC's approval of *The Proposal for an English Honors Program*. At that time, Divisional Council noted that the Honors Program can be established, and students enrolled to graduate with honors, but that the program's desire to award High Honors and Highest Honors requires revisions to the Division's Regulations. There is no lead reviewer among Senate committees.
 - b. **Action:** COR to review the proposed revisions and send any comments to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Tuesday, February 13.

VII. Systemwide Review Items

- a. [Proposed Presidential Policy on Open Access for Theses and Dissertations](#). Under the proposed policy, UC would obtain a limited license to permit electronic drafts of theses or dissertations, authored by UC graduate students, to be available in an open access repository. COR, LASC, and GC are lead reviewers.
 - i. **Action:** COR to review the proposed policy and provide comments to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Tuesday, January 30.
- b. [Second systemwide review of the proposed, revised APM sections](#): 285, 210-3, 133, 740, 135 and 235 pertaining to the re-designation of the L(P)SOE series. CAP is the lead reviewer.
 - i. **Action:** COR to review the revisions and send any comments to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Tuesday, January 30.

VIII. Upcoming Business

- a. Spring 2018
 - i. Additional Support for Academic Senate Faculty Research Grants program
 - ii. External Review of the Center for the Humanities
 - iii. Draft a Conflict of Interest statement like those of [other Senate committees](#)