Committee on Research (COR) Wednesday, March 13, 2019 2:30 – 4:00 pm Location: KL 362

Documents available at UCM Box: COR AY 18-19

I. Chair's Report – Michael Scheibner

- A. Division Council meeting March 4
- B. UCORP meeting March 11
- C. Academic Planning Work Group updates
- D. PROC updates
 - i. PROC has discussed <u>CoR's policy</u> for the Establishment, Disestablishment, and Review of Core Facilities (drafted by CoR in AY 17-18 and approved by Division Council).
- E. CoR's ORU policy has been distributed for Senate review, and review by the Deans Council and ORU directors. Comments are due to the Senate Chair by Monday, April 22.

II. Consent Calendar

- A. Approval of today's agenda
- B. Approval of the February 27 meeting minutes

III. Awards Subcommittee

A. Senate Award for Early Career Research and Senate Award for Distinction in Research.

The Academic Senate annually offers nine awards. CoR is responsible for reviewing and selecting awardees for the two research-related awards. Winners of all nine Senate awards will be announced by the Senate Chair at the April 15 Meeting of the Division.

Action: CoR to finalize the membership of the two award subcommittees that will review the nominations for Early Career research and Distinction in Research. Awardees must be selected by 5:00 pm on Friday, March 22.

IV. Consultation with VCORED Traina

A. Limited Submission Procedures - VCORED Traina, Anand Subramaniam

Staff in the Office of Research & Economic Development (ORED) posed questions for CoR members' consideration. In the last CoR meeting, members developed <u>suggestions</u> to these questions and elected to discuss them with the VCORED in today's meeting.

Action: CoR members to discuss their responses to ORED staff's questions. CoR's comments will be transmitted to ORED staff.

- B. UC Merced composite benefit rates for post docs
- C. COGR Report on Institutional Resources for Promoting Research Quality

V. Future of Senate Faculty Grants Program

CoR members to discuss the following suggestions:

A. Collecting statements from faculty, the form of a survey, who have received these grants since 2015 similar to CoR's previous data collection project.

Possible survey questions are:

- Have you applied to the faculty grants program? If no, why not?
- If you have received an award from the faculty grants program, have the funds helped advance your research program?
- Are the award sizes (\$5k per faculty up to \$20k for collaborative proposals) reasonable in your opinion?
- Should the program be offered more frequently throughout the academic year (e.g. twice, three times)
- Is the faculty grants program an effective and sufficient mechanism to obtain funds for new ideas?
- B. Gathering data on the discretionary funds/faculty grants programs from other UC campuses
- C. Gathering data on:
 - the overall number of grant proposals submitted by Senate faculty each year over the past 3 years. See data collected by CoR chair.
 - percentage of proposals budgeting the full indirect cost rate
 - success rate
 - total amount of funds the campus received from these extramural grants.

VI. Systemwide Review Item

A. Proposed Revisions to Senate Regulation 636.E

The proposed revisions pertain to how UC students are allowed to satisfy the University's Entry Level Writing Requirement

Action: CoR to decide whether to opine. If opining, a lead reviewer to be identified. The lead reviewer's comments will be discussed at the April 3 CoR meeting. CoR's comments are due to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Tuesday, April 23.

VII. Campus Biosafety Updates (3:30 – 4:00)

Guests: Aparupa Sengupta, Biosafety Officer and Sheryl Ireland, Director of Policy & Accountability

A. Updates on campus biosafety

VIII. Other Business

IX. Informational Item

A. Academic Council's <u>endorsement</u> of letters from UCORP and UCAF urging the University to take a strong stand against the ongoing politicization of research funding.