

**Committee on Research (COR)****Wednesday, April 17, 2019****2:30 – 4:00 pm****Location: KL 362****Documents available at UCM Box: [COR AY 18-19](#)****I. Chair's Report – *Michael Scheibner***

- A. [UCORP meeting April 8](#)
- B. [Division Council meeting April 15](#)
- C. [Meeting of the Division April 15](#)
- D. Consultation with VCORED Traina

**II. Budget Work Group updates – *Anand Bala Subramaniam***

- A. Budget Work Group meeting March 18

**III. Consent Calendar**

- A. Approval of today's agenda
- B. Approval of the [March 13](#) and [April 3](#) meeting minutes

**IV. Future of Senate Faculty Grants Program**

CoR members to continue to discuss the following suggestions:

- A. Collecting statements from faculty, the form of a survey, who have received these grants since 2015 similar to [CoR's previous data collection project](#).

Possible survey questions are:

- Have you applied to the faculty grants program? If no, why not?
  - If you have received an award from the faculty grants program, have the funds helped advance your research program?
  - Are the award sizes (\$5k per faculty up to \$20k for collaborative proposals) reasonable in your opinion?
  - Should the program be offered more frequently throughout the academic year (e.g. twice, three times)
  - Is the faculty grants program an effective and sufficient mechanism to obtain funds for new ideas?
- B. Gathering [data](#) on the discretionary funds/faculty grants programs from other UC campuses
  - C. Gathering data on:
    - the overall number of grant proposals submitted by Senate faculty each year over the past 3 years. See [data](#) collected by CoR chair.
    - percentage of proposals budgeting the full indirect cost rate
    - success rate
    - total amount of funds the campus received from these extramural grants.

**V. Campus Review Items****A. [Salary Recovery Policy](#)**

Draft memo for the committee's review is available [here](#).

**Action:** CoR to review and approve the draft memo. Memo is due to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Monday, April 22.

**B. [Academic Planning Work Group Proposal](#)**

The APWG has submitted a proposal for campus review.

**Action:** CoR to identify a lead reviewer. Lead reviewer's comments will be discussed at the May 1 meeting. CoR's comments are due to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 6.

**C. [Department Chair Duties document](#)**

The Transition Oversight Committee, charged with overseeing school restructuring work by the Provost, has developed a draft document outlining department chair duties for the Senate's review.

**Action:** CoR to identify a lead reviewer. Lead reviewer's comments will be discussed at the May 1 meeting. CoR's comments are due to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 6.

**VI. Systemwide Review Items****A. [State Assessment Report on the UC Center Sacramento](#)**

Per President Napolitano's direction, systemwide Provost & Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs initiated an extensive assessment of the UC Center Sacramento (UCCS) in August 2018. This report was developed based on interviews with and data collected from various stakeholders, including members of the UCCS Faculty Council and Advisory Board, as well as campus and other stakeholders. The current state assessment comprises the background, activities and programs, organizational structure, financials, and competitive landscape for UCCS. The proposal for the future of UCCS, includes a vision, set of goals, and changes necessary to meet those goals.

**CoR is a lead reviewer.**

**Action:** CoR to identify a lead reviewer. The lead reviewer's comments will be discussed at May 1 meeting. CoR's comments are due to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 6.

**B. [Proposed New APM 011](#) - Academic Freedom, Protection of Professional Standards, and Responsibilities of Non-Faculty Academic Appointees.**

Currently, APM - 010 (Academic Freedom) defines academic freedom as it pertains to faculty and defines the freedom of scholarly inquiry for students, as it derives from the faculty's academic freedom. APM - 015 (The Faculty Code of Conduct) defines the corresponding responsibilities as it pertains to faculty only. Although APM - 010 states that it is not intended to "diminish the rights and responsibilities enjoyed by other academic appointees," APM - 010 and APM - 015 do not address how these concepts apply or do not apply to non-faculty

academic appointees. The proposed new policy is intended to address the academic privileges, rights, obligations, and responsibilities of non-faculty academic appointees.

**Action:** CoR to decide whether to opine. If opining, a lead reviewer to be identified. The lead reviewer's comments will be discussed at May 1 meeting. CoR's comments are due to the Senate Chair by 5:00 pm on Monday, May 6.

**VII. Other Business - *Anand Bala Subramaniam***

A. [UC Policy on Minors in Laboratories and Shops](#)

**Discussion:** Concerns in SNS and SOE over the local implementation of the policy.

**VIII. Informational Item**

A. UCACC and UCOLASC [report and recommendations](#) on the use of research information management systems for faculty analytics.