

DIVISIONAL COUNCIL

January 19, 2017

12:00– 1:30 PM

KL 362

Supporting Documents available on [Box](#)

Item

-
- | | |
|---|---------------|
| I. CHAIR’S REPORT AND ANNOUNCEMENTS—CHAIR AMUSSEN | 10 MIN |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Academic Council (12/19; 1/18) B. Extended Cabinet Meeting (1/17) C. Meeting of the Division will take place April 19th from 3 – 4:30 p.m. in KL 232. | |
| II. CONSENT CALENDAR | 5 MIN |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Approval of the Agenda B. Approval of the minutes for the November 17, December 1, and December 15 meetings. C. Draft mission statement for the Office of Academic and proposed revision to the mission of the Merced Division of the Academic Senate D. Draft survey of current and prior committee members to support periodic review. | |
| III. COMMITTEE CHAIRS’ REPORTS | 20 MIN |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Academic Planning & Resource Allocation (CAPRA) – Chair Mukesh Singhal • Academic Personnel (CAP) – Chair Ignacio López-Calvo • Committees (CoC) – Chair Rick Dale • Committee on Research (CoR)– Chair David Noelle • Rules and Elections (CRE) – Chair Lin Tian • Diversity and Equity (D&E) – Chair Tanya Golash-Boza • Faculty Welfare and Academic Freedom (FWAF) – Chair Jayson Beaster-Jones • Graduate Council (GC) – Chair Ramesh Balasubramaniam • Undergraduate Council (UGC) – Chair Anne Zanzucchi | |
| IV. CAMPUS REVIEW ITEMS | 15 MIN |
| <p>A. Incentive Plan for Revenue Generating Master’s Degree Programs - GC Chair Balasubramaniam
 DivCo is asked to discuss committee comments on the Incentive Plan for Revenue Generating Master’s Degree Programs submitted by VPDGE Zatz and Dean Matsumoto. GC and CAPRA were the lead committees for the review.</p> <p>Action Requested: 1) Discuss committee comments. 2) Transmit Divisional Council’s response to VPDGE Zatz and Dean Matsumoto.</p> <p>Committee Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAPRA noted that elements of the proposal had merit, while articulating five areas of concern that the committee believes should be addressed before the plan is initiated. • CoR supports the proposed plan, pending clarification in five areas. Members also offered three recommendations. • GC agreed the proposed plan would make a positive contribution to graduate education at UCM, but requested additional information, including regarding the | |

sufficiency of the 50/25/25 split to support programs in implementing the plan and how the proposed plan will assist students in preparing for the workforce.

- [UCG](#) desired a description of potential consequences and challenges of the proposed initiative, including as relates to instructional planning; UGC also encouraged planning to clarify relationships with planning activities being undertaken by Extension.
- [School of Engineering Executive Committee](#) strongly supports the overall plan, and articulated four clarifying questions regarding what programs are eligible for participation in this revenue-sharing plan, how self-paying revenue is calculated, the relationship of this plan to potential SSP and PDST programs, and what if any restrictions apply to the use of funds generated through such an initiative.

Committees that declined to comment, but appreciated the opportunity to opine:

CAP, CRE, D&E, and FWAF

V. SYSTEMWIDE REVIEW ITEMS

A. Presidential Policy for Establishing and Maintaining Policies – CRE Chair Tian 5 MIN

DivCo is asked to discuss [CRE comments](#) on the proposed *Presidential Policy for Establishing and Maintaining Policies*. This systemwide policy outlines the current process for development and review of both new and existing policies and procedures, and is intended to provide clear and consistent guidance to all constituents for the process the University uses for policy development and review. The policy applies to all UC locations and offices developing and reviewing Presidential policies, but does not affect policy development, consultation, and maintenance of the Academic Personnel Manual.

Action Requested: 1) Discuss CRE’s comments. 2) Transmit Divisional Council’s response to Associate Chancellor Putney.

VI. D&E REQUEST REGARDING COMPENSATED APPOINTMENTS – D&E CHAIR GOLASH-BOZA 5 MIN

[D & E requests](#) that Divisional Council ask the administration to develop a fair and transparent appointment process for compensated faculty administrative positions.

Action Requested: 1) Endorse D & E’s request. 2) Transmit Divisional Council’s response to the Provost and VPF.

VII. FWAF PRINCIPLES FOR START-UP AND INCIDENTAL FUNDS - CHAIR AMUSSEN 15 MIN

DivCo is asked to discuss [CoR’s contributions](#) to the discussion on start-up and incidental funds, and to consider the implications for [FWAF’s principles](#) to guide policy formulation and decision-making regarding access to, and provisioning and management of, start-up and incidental funds. FWAF principles were revised on 12/8 in response to input from DivCo at its 12/1 meeting.

Action Requested: 1) Discuss the FWAF principles in light of CoR’s input. 2) Determine next steps.

VIII. PROPOSAL TO EMPANEL RESERVE CAP – CAP CHAIR LÓPEZ-CALVO & VPF CAMFIELD 15 MIN

DivCo is asked to discuss the [proposal to establish a “reserve” CAP](#) (RCAP). An RCAP is being proposed to establish a standing pool of faculty for reviewing 1) cases of current or former CAP

members (i.e. members who termed off the committee in the preceding academic year), and 2) appeals by faculty members. Currently, the VPF must establish an ad-hoc committee for every review.

Action Requested: 1) Discuss the proposal. 2) Determine next steps.

INFORMATION ITEMS

- The UC Merced [administration approved](#) the proposal to discontinue the Service Sciences Minor.
- [In a letter to Vice Provost Carlson dated 12/16/2016](#), Academic Senate Chair Chalfant summarized the results of the Academic Senate review of the proposed *Presidential Policy on International Activities*. Further revisions were requested in light of the significant concerns raised by Senate reviewers.
- [In a letter to Vice Provost Carlson dated 12/21/2016](#), Academic Senate Chair Chalfant summarized the results of systemwide review of proposed amendments to APM 015 and 016, and noted that the Senate is unable to endorse them as written due to concerns about their clarity, intent, and effectiveness.
- [In a letter to President Napolitano date 12/21/2016](#), Academic Senate Chair Chalfant communicated the Academic Council's resolution on non-resident enrollment.
- [In a letter to President Napolitano date 1/4/2017](#), Academic Senate Chair Chalfant communicated the Academic Council's resolution on in-state tuition.
- On January 5, 2016, Chair Chalfant issued [a request for nominations](#) for the 2017-18 Chair of the Academic Council. The request has been circulated to all members of the Merced Division.