<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>CRU</th>
<th>Core Facility (CF)</th>
<th>ORU</th>
<th>MRU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lines of Responsibility</td>
<td>CRU responsible to Vice Chancellor for Research (VCR) for administration, budget, space, personnel, and scholarship</td>
<td>CF responsible to VCR for administration, budget, space, personnel, and scholarship</td>
<td>ORU responsible to Chancellor or Chancellor’s Designee (CD) for administration, budget, space, personnel, and scholarship</td>
<td>MRU responsible to the President and report through Chancellor or CD at host campus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Headed by Director who is a faculty member. Aided by Advisory Committee appointed by VCR.</td>
<td>Headed by Director who is a faculty member. Aided by Advisory Committee appointed by VCR.</td>
<td>Headed by Director who is a tenured faculty member. Aided by Advisory Committee Appointed by Chancellor or CD.</td>
<td>Headed by Director who is a tenured faculty member, aided by Associate Director on each campus at which unit is active. Aided by Advisory Committee appointed by President or President designee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgetary Support</td>
<td>Potential funding by Office of Research based on merit review</td>
<td>Funding from recharge and contracts. Potential funding by Office of Research based on merit review</td>
<td>“[P]rovision is made in the campus budget for the unit’s core administration support, Director’s stipend, ...”</td>
<td>Administrative support from campus or from Office of the President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal for Establishment</td>
<td>Faculty members submit a proposal stating unit’s goals and objectives; describing added values and capabilities; explaining how mission extends beyond interests or needs of a single group, department, or school; and making clear how the unit will foster new intellectual collaborations, stimulate new funding, etc. [NB: CRU Policies include Review Criteria] Executive Vice-Chancellor has final authority for approval.</td>
<td>Faculty members submit a proposal stating CF’s goals and objectives; describing added values and capabilities; explaining how mission extends beyond interests or needs of a single group, department, or school; and making clear how the unit will foster new intellectual collaborations, stimulate new funding, etc.</td>
<td>Faculty members submit a proposal stating unit’s goals and objectives; describing added values and capabilities; explaining why goals cannot be achieved by existing campus structure; and making clear how the unit will foster new intellectual collaborations, stimulate new funding, etc.</td>
<td>Proposal originates at host campus and is submitted to the VCR, who seeks advice from all appropriate divisional Academic Senate Committees and administrative committees. After campus review, proposal is submitted to Vice Provost for Research by Chancellor or CD of host campus. The Vice Provost for Research reviews proposal and refers it to the Chancellor for comment. The Vice Provost for Research also refers the proposal to the Chair of Academic Council for comment by University Committee on Research Policy (UCORP), University Committee on Planning and Budget (UCPB), and CCGA. Vice Provost for Research retains final authority for recommending establishment of MRU to Provost and President. After Presidential approval, Provost informs Chancellors and Chair of Academic Council of the action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Appointed by VCR after a nomination procedure on which VCR and CoR agree. For new Director for an existing unit, nominates are solicited from Advisory Committee.</td>
<td>Appointed by VCR after a nomination procedure on which VCR and CoR agree. For new Director for an existing unit, nominates are solicited from Advisory Committee.</td>
<td>Appointed by Chancellor or CD after a nomination procedure on which the Chancellor and the Academic Senate agree. For new Director for an existing unit, nominates are solicited from Advisory Committee.</td>
<td>Appointed by the Provost after consultation with appropriate Chancellors and with advice of Search Committee appointed by Vice Provost for Research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU</td>
<td>Core Facility (CF)</td>
<td>ORU</td>
<td>MRU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Five-year Review</strong></td>
<td><strong>Procedure for Disestablishment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phase-Out Period</strong></td>
<td><strong>Procedure for Name Change</strong></td>
<td><strong>Annual Report</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCR initiates 5-year reviews. VCR in consultation with CoR should assure 5-year reviews are conducted at proper intervals. VCR appoints review committee from a slate nominated by CoR. Review committee's report should be provided to the Director for comment. Justification for continuation must be documented by review committee. The report is reviewed by appropriate Academic Senate committees. VCR decides on continuation and any changes in CRU, upon consideration of the ad hoc and Senate committee's recommendations. Disestablishment of CRU requires Provost's approval. To maintain portfolio campus CRUs, VCR transmits annual report to Chancellor, Executive Vice Chancellor, and the Academic Senate the establishments and disestablishments and a summary of 5-year reviews of CRUs.</td>
<td>Following a 5-year review, Executive Vice Chancellor approves request for disestablishment and informs the Chancellor, VCR, and Academic Senate of action.</td>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>Director prepares a proposal to VCR describing rationale. After review by CoR, CAPRA, and appropriate campus administrators, Provost approves and informs Chancellor, VCR, and Academic Senate of action.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCR initiates 5-year reviews. VCR in consultation with CoR should assure 5-year reviews are conducted at proper intervals. VCR appoints review committee from a slate nominated by CoR. Review committee's report should be provided to the Director for comment. Justification for continuation must be documented by review committee. The report is reviewed by appropriate Academic Senate committees. VCR decides on continuation and any changes in CF, upon consideration of the ad hoc and Senate committee's recommendations. Disestablishment of CF requires Provost's approval. To maintain portfolio campus CFs, VCR transmits annual report to Chancellor, Executive Vice Chancellor, and the Academic Senate the establishments and disestablishments and a summary of 5-year reviews of CFs.</td>
<td>Following a 5-year review, Executive Vice Chancellor approves request for disestablishment and informs the Chancellor, VCR, and Academic Senate of action.</td>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>Director prepares a proposal describing rationale. After review by CoR, CAPRA, and appropriate campus administrators, Provost approves and informs Chancellor, VCR, and Academic Senate of action.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chancellor initiates 5-year reviews. VCR in consultation with appropriate Senate Committee should assure 5-year reviews are conducted at proper intervals. The Chancellor or CD appoints review committee from a slate nominated by divisional Academic Senate. Review committee's report should be provided to the Director for comment. Justification for continuation must be documented by review committee. The report is reviewed by appropriate Academic Senate committees. The Chancellor or CD decides on continuation and any changes in ORU, upon consideration of the ad hoc and Senate committee's recommendations. Disestablishment of ORU requires Chancellor's approval. To maintain portfolio campus ORUs, the Chancellor or CD transmits annual report to the Vice Provost for Research listing ORU establishments and disestablishments and a summary of 5-year reviews of ORUs.</td>
<td>Following a 5-year review, the Chancellor or CD approves request for disestablishment and the Chancellor or CD informs the Vice Provost for Research of action.</td>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>Director prepares a proposal describing rationale. MRU Advisory Committee endorses requested name change. After review by appropriate host campus administrators and Senate committees of other participating campus, Director submits proposal package to Vice Provost for Research. After consultation with UCORP and favorable review at host campus and participating campuses, the host Chancellor approves name change and submits full documentation to Vice Provost for Research, who notifies other campus and the Chair of the Academic Council of change in name.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Vice Provost for Research should assure that 5-year reviews are conducted at proper intervals. VCR appoints ad hoc review committee from a slate nominated by Chair of the Academic Council and the Chancellor or CD. Review committee's report should be provided to the Director for information. Justification for continuation must be documented by review committee. The 5-Year Review report is submitted to the Vice Provost for Research, who distributes it to the Vice Chancellors for campus comment and the Chair of the Academic Council for comment by UCDRP, UCIPB, and CCGA. Based on 5-Year Review Report and comments, the Vice Provost for Research approves continuation of unit, implements changes, or recommends disestablishment of unit to President.</td>
<td>Following a 5-year review, the Chancellor or CD submits request for disestablishment to Vice Provost of Research after appropriate campus administrative and Senate consultation and consultation with Advisory Committee. The request is referred by Vice Provost for Research to the Chancellors for comment. The Provost recommends disestablishment to the President. After Presidential approval, Provost informs Chancellors and Chair of the Academic Council of action.</td>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>Unit should submit a report to VCR and CoR containing specific information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>At most one full year after the end of the academic year</td>
<td>Unit should submit a report to VCR and CoR containing specific information.</td>
<td>Unit should submit a report to VCR and CoR containing specific information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approval Process for Establishment of a Centralized Research Unit (CRU)

CRU Proposal

Committee on Research (lead committee)

Graduate Council
CAPRA
UGC

DIVCO

Vice-Chancellor for Research

• Optional administrative consultation
• Budget approval

Vice-Chancellor for Research

Executive Vice Chancellor (final authority)

Chair of Academic Senate (in case of disagreement)

Campus notification
Approval Process for Establishment of a Core Facility (CF)

CF Proposal

Committee on Research (lead committee)
- Graduate Council
- CAPRA
- UGC

DIVCO

Vice-Chancellor for Research
- Optional administrative consultation
- Budget approval

Vice-Chancellor for Research

Executive Vice Chancellor (final authority)

Chair of Academic Senate (in case of disagreement)

Campus notification
Approval Process for Establishment of a Organized Research Unit (ORU)

1. **ORU Proposal**

2. **Dean(s) directly affected by ORU and Vice-Chancellor for Research**

   - **Committee on Research (lead committee)**
     - Graduate Council
     - CAPRA
     - UGC

   - **DIVCO**

   - **Chancellor or Chancellor’s designee**
     - Optional administrative consultation
     - Budget approval

   - **Chair of Academic Senate (in case of disagreement)**

3. **Chancellor or Chancellor’s designee**

4. **Chancellor (final authority)**

5. **Campus notification**
Approval Process for Establishment of an Multicampus Research Unit (MRU)/MRPI

- MRU/MRPI Proposal (from host campus)
- Committee on Research (lead committee)
  - Graduate Council
  - CAPRA
  - UGC
- Vice-Chancellor for Research
  - Optional administrative consultation
  - Budget approval
- Chancellor or Chancellor designee of host campus
- UCOP
Five-Year Review Criteria for Centralized Research Units

Five-year reviews by the Senate may be additional to reviews conducted by the Office of Research and other cognizant units. The objective of Senate review is to ensure that the units continue to reflect the criteria set by the Senate. The five-year review should be considered standard, but the Office of Research is empowered to request additional documentation at any stage. This review document should be no more than 5 pages.

Centralized Research Units (CRU) reviews will be evaluated according to the following:

1. CRU’s original purpose
2. Present functions
3. Accomplishments (e.g., publications, grants, new collaborations, number of users, and educational/outreach activities associated with the unit)
4. Impacts
5. Future plans
6. Continuing development

CRU reviews will assess the following:

1. Adequacy of space and other resources made available to the unit
2. Success in meeting previously established objectives, planned changes in program objectives, and planned steps to achieve new objectives
3. Effectiveness and leadership of the Director and the participation of the Advisory Committee
4. Budget, including funds and expenditures
Five-Year Review Criteria for Core Facilities

Five-year reviews by the Senate may be additional to reviews conducted by the Office of Research and other cognizant units. The objective of Senate review is to ensure that the units continue to reflect the criteria set by the Senate. The five-year review should be considered standard, but the Office of Research is empowered to request additional documentation at any stage. This review document should be 5-10 pages.

Core Facility (CF) reviews must address the following:

1. CF’s original purpose
2. Present functions
3. Accomplishments (e.g., publications, grants, new collaborations, number of users, and educational/outreach activities associated with the unit)
4. Impacts
5. Future plans
6. Continuing development

CF reviews will assess the following:

1. Adequacy of space and other resources made available to the unit
2. Success in meeting previously established objectives, planned changes in program objectives, and planned steps to achieve new objectives
3. Effectiveness and leadership of the Director and the participation of the Advisory Committee
4. Budget (including funds and expenditures, and adequateness and appropriateness to support the CF’s mission)
5. Compliance with safety and operational regulations
Five-Year Review Criteria for Organized Research Units

Five-year reviews by the Senate may be additional to reviews conducted by the Office of Research and other cognizant units. The objective of Senate review is to ensure that the units continue to reflect the criteria set by the Senate. The five-year review should be considered standard, but the Office of Research is empowered to request additional documentation at any stage. This review document should be 5-10 pages.

Organized Research Units (ORU) reviews must address the following:

1. ORU’s original purpose
2. Present functions
3. Accomplishments (e.g., publications, grants, new collaborations, number of users, and educational/outreach activities associated with the unit)
4. Impacts
5. Future plans
6. Continuing development

ORU reviews will assess the following:

1. Adequacy of space and other resources made available to the unit
2. Success in meeting previously established objectives, planned changes in program objectives, and planned steps to achieve new objectives
3. Effectiveness and leadership of the Director and the participation of the Advisory Committee
4. Budget, including funds and expenditures
Five-Year Review Criteria for Multicampus Research Units

Five-year reviews by the Senate may be additional to reviews conducted by the Office of Research and other cognizant units. The objective of Senate review is to ensure that the units continue to reflect the criteria set by the Senate. The five-year review should be considered standard, but the Office of Research is empowered to request additional documentation at any stage. This review document should be 5-10 pages.

Multicampus Research Units (MRU) reviews must address the following:

1. MRU’s original purpose
2. Present functions
3. Accomplishments (e.g., publications, grants, new collaborations, number of users, and educational/outreach activities associated with the unit)
4. Impacts
5. Future plans
6. Continuing development

MRU reviews will assess the following:

1. Adequacy of space and other resources made available to the unit
2. Success in meeting previously established objectives, planned changes in program objectives, and planned steps to achieve new objectives
3. Effectiveness and leadership of the Director and the participation of the Advisory Committee
4. Budget, including funds and expenditures
Review Criteria for Establishment of Centralized Research Units

Centralized Research Units (CRU) proposals must address how the proposed unit will:

1. Foster new intellectual collaborations
2. Stimulate new sources of funding
3. Further innovative and original research
4. Support existing funded research
5. Supply research techniques or services to faculty groups
6. Contribute to the instruction mission of the university
7. Perform service and outreach to the public
8. Support a broad array of researchers, graduate group, schools, and the campus
9. Have sufficient faculty and technical expertise to ensure the successful operation of the unit
10. Have a management and financial plan that will ensure sustainability of the unit
11. Have a plan for how immediate and future space needs will be met
12. Procure extramural funds for its establishment and operation
Review Criteria for Establishment of Core Facilities

Core Facility (CF) proposals must address how the proposed facility will:

1. Foster new intellectual collaborations
2. Stimulate new sources of funding
3. Further innovative and original research
4. Support existing funded research
5. Supply research techniques or services to faculty groups
6. Contribute to the instruction mission of the university
7. Perform service and outreach to the public
8. Support a broad array of researchers, graduate group, schools, and the campus
9. Have sufficient faculty and technical expertise to ensure the successful operation of the facility
10. Procure extramural funds for its establishment and operation
11. Have a management and financial plan that will ensure sustainability of the facility
12. Have a plan for how immediate and future space and instrumentation needs will be met
13. Comply with existing safety and operational regulations
Review Criteria for Establishment of Organized Research Units

Organized Research Units (ORU) proposals must address how the proposed unit will:

1. Foster new intellectual collaborations
2. Stimulate new sources of funding
3. Further innovative and original research
4. Support existing funded research
5. Supply research techniques or services to faculty groups
6. Contribute to the instruction mission of the university
7. Perform service and outreach to the public
8. Support a broad array of researchers, graduate group, schools, and the campus
9. Have sufficient faculty and technical expertise to ensure the successful operation of the unit
10. Have a management and financial plan that will ensure sustainability of the unit
11. Have a plan for how immediate and future space needs will be met
12. Procure extramural funds for its establishment and operation
Review Criteria for Establishment of Multicampus Research Units

Multicampus Research Units (MRU) proposals must address how the proposed unit will:

1. Foster new intellectual collaborations
2. Stimulate new sources of funding
3. Further innovative and original research
4. Support existing funded research
5. Supply research techniques or services to faculty groups
6. Contribute to the instruction mission of the UC system
7. Perform service and outreach to the public
8. Support a broad array of researchers, graduate group, schools, the campus, and the university system
9. Have sufficient faculty and technical expertise to ensure the successful operation of the unit
10. Have a management and financial plan that will ensure sustainability of the unit
11. Have a plan for how immediate and future space needs will be met
12. Procure extramural funds for its establishment and operation